

UNCOMMON SENSE, COMMON NONSENSE

**Why some organisations consistently
outperform others**

Jules Goddard and Tony Eccles



PROFILE BOOKS

This edition published in 2013

First published in Great Britain in 2012 by
Profile Books Ltd
3A Exmouth House
Pine Street
Exmouth Market
London EC1R 0JH
www.profilebooks.com

Copyright © Jules Goddard and Tony Eccles, 2012, 2013

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Designed by Sue Lamble
Illustrations by Cherry Goddard

Typeset in Caslon by MacGuru Ltd
info@macguru.org.uk

Printed and bound in Great Britain by
CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon CR0 4YY

The moral right of the author has been asserted.

All rights reserved. Without limiting the rights under copyright reserved above, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise), without the prior written permission of both the copyright owner and the publisher of this book.

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978 1 84668 602 3
eISBN 978 1 84765 821 0



Contents

Preface to the second edition ● xi

Introduction ● 1

PART 1 **Winners and losers ● 9**

Firms outperform their competitors by aiming to be different,
not better ● 11

Winning is a singularity, whereas losing conforms to
a pattern ● 15

Losers look to competitive benchmarks rather to their own
imagination for their model of success ● 18

Losers define strategy as cost competitiveness and seek efficiency
through cost reduction ● 21

Success is best measured by added value, not profit ● 24

The best benchmark is the competition, not the plan ● 27

Winners are motivated more by meeting a need than a target ● 30

The difference between winners and losers is less their aims and
more their methods ● 32

The greatest threats to corporate performance are internal, not
external ● 35

It is better to be first than it is to be better ● 38

Losers are typified by the “catch up” strategy of “a better product
at a lower price” ● 41

Incompetence explains performance differences better than
competence ● 43

Markets are self-structured to produce few winners and a long tail of losers ● 47

Success is its own multiplier ● 50

Most marketing efforts serve only to reinforce the status quo ● 53

PART 2 Strategy and tactics ● 55

A strategy is not a plan of attack but an idea under study ● 57

The measure of a strategy is not its ambition but its truth ● 60

The greatest barriers to competition are not structural or economic, but personal or cognitive ● 62

Wealth-creating actions are driven more by curiosity than by targets ● 64

Effective strategy is as likely to flow from action as to lead to action ● 66

Strategy belongs more naturally to the crowd than to the professional ● 68

The true strategist resembles an experimental scientist rather than a clairvoyant planner ● 70

There cannot be a method of strategy just as there cannot be a method of science ● 73

Plans deliver greater value if they are propositions to be tested rather than commitments to be met ● 75

Strategic breakthroughs are more likely to arise from adhocracy than a formal planning process ● 79

Our understanding of strategy owes more to capital markets than to product markets ● 84

Most discoveries in business are the result of accident rather than design ● 88

Creative people give themselves more time to solve problems ● 91

An organisation's "bullshit quotient" is directly proportional to its disregard for the truth ● 94

Strategy is more dependent on courage and humility than talent and charisma ● 97

Competition compensates for its own wastefulness by the pace of innovation that it spawns ● 99

PART 3 Organisation and management • 103

- The horns of the managerial dilemma are the need to be in control and the need to be continuously learning • **107**
- The primary role of management is to motivate employees and to co-ordinate their activities • **111**
- Six operating principles underpin the managerial model of most organisations • **114**
- The dark side of the standard model of management is increasingly constraining performance • **117**
- Six global forces are reducing the need for management • **121**
- The internet is disintermediating management • **124**
- The double bind is the defining condition of organisational man • **126**
- Paranoia is the dominant mood of management • **129**
- The need for extraordinary management suggests a poorly designed organisation • **132**
- Managers need to better exploit the benefits of heterarchy • **135**
- Catalytic mechanisms point the way forward • **137**
- Everything important happens “at the edge of chaos” • **140**
- Companies underestimate the power of intrinsic motivation • **142**
- Tapping the collective intelligence of the organisation creates value • **144**
- The smallest changes – if well chosen – can have the biggest effects • **148**
- The spectre of bankruptcy serves to tame “animal spirits” more effectively than the restraints of the regulator • **150**
- Morality is not possible without the freedom afforded by the market • **153**

PART 4 Biases and remedies • 157

- New problems call for new methods • **159**
- The logic of business decision-making should emulate the logic of scientific discovery • **162**
- Statements of corporate values trivialise ethics and demean employees • **165**

Change programmes deliver greater change when focused on weakness of will rather than clarity of intent ● 168

Business is increasingly equated with being busy ● 171

There are greater returns on simplicity than on scale ● 173

Progress results from wise insights rather than great designs ● 175

Many strategic decisions would be better made by a plebiscite than by an elite ● 177

Firms underestimate the collective wisdom of their employees ● 179

Firms would benefit from becoming more open societies ● 182

Most of the important things are happening at the periphery ● 184

A sense of fairness is what holds an organisation together ● 187

The pace of progress would be accelerated by greater corporate disclosure ● 189

Visualising the connection between decisions and outcomes enhances the quality of management ● 191

All progress emanates from the freedom to make mistakes in the pursuit of organisational learning ● 194

Conversations, rather than meetings, are the units of organisational effectiveness ● 197

Good conversation is founded upon good manners ● 199

Listening without judging enables organisational learning ● 201

Learning how to learn more effectively is the challenge of our times ● 204

PART 5 Applications and examples ● 207

The challenge of Discovery ● 211

The future and strength of the Discovery process ● 214

The subversive nature of the Discovery process ● 217

The Discovery process depends on an open agenda ● 219

The important flavour of unpredictability and ambiguity ● 223

Emotional engagement is crucial ● 234

Final words ● 237

Acknowledgements ● 239

Introduction

“Both the ideas that science generates and the way in which science is carried out are entirely counter-intuitive and against common sense.”

Lewis Wolpert

THIS IS A BOOK on corporate performance and organisational capability. It puts forward a new explanation for why some companies consistently outperform their rivals; it suggests that beliefs and assumptions rather than goals or values separate winners from losers; it argues that the model of management that prevails in most organisations is both antiquated and harmful; and it proposes a radically different method for how to lead and drive the work of an organisation effectively. It integrates a theory of corporate success with a model of strategic thinking and a method of operational effectiveness.

It is not a textbook. It is more like a handbook of innovative ideas and contrarian perspectives. It challenges the fashion for panaceas, formulae and notions of best practice, and it reflects a view that most business strategies are generic and banal, and most management theories are little more than sophistry or folk wisdom. It seeks to clear away the undergrowth that has made management and strategy far more difficult than they need to be. In a sense, it represents a return to core principles by setting out to reformulate an integrated model of the effective business.

In this endeavour, we seek to engage the creativity of the reader.

Some of the arguments of the book are grounded in recent economic and psychological research, but most of them are the fruit of working closely with executive teams attending management-development programmes at London Business School, INSEAD and elsewhere over the past 25 years. These workshops are hugely revealing of the joys and sorrows of modern managers and the problems they face. This book was written with these managers in mind.

Our approach to competitive strategy derives from a return to economic fundamentals – and, in particular, to the basic law of wealth creation. This is the principle of asymmetric knowledge – that is, any situation when somebody in a market knows something that nobody else in the market knows, and then has the courage to act on that knowledge. We call this type of knowledge “uncommon sense”. When such knowledge is acted upon illegally, it is called “insider trading”. But when acted upon lawfully, it is called “entrepreneurship”. Of course, not just any knowledge will do. It has to be knowledge that can be utilised and packaged in ways that create unique value for buyers. These are the conditions that define the moment of truth that we call “strategic discovery”.

In the absence of knowledge asymmetries – and the acts of entrepreneurial courage that turn inert knowledge into incremental wealth – markets would lose their potency. As P.J. O'Rourke, an American satirist, observed:


If everybody believed what everybody else believed, everybody would set the same price on everything. The middle-aged men on the stock exchange floor could quit hollering and go have lunch.

Markets are battles between belief systems. The winners are those whose beliefs are more grounded in “truth”. The beneficiaries are the entrepreneurs and their customers who capture the value embedded in this new knowledge. Market competition is an exploratory process that rewards those who make such discoveries.


As humans we are all fallible. Misconceptions, illusions, blind spots and false beliefs are part of the human condition. When the same sources of error unite all the competitors in a given space, they become what we call “common nonsense”. An important aspect of strategy for the individual firm is the skill of identifying such nonsense and discarding it.

Adopting the perspective of a particular firm (“us”) competing against other firms (“them”), we can, at the risk of oversimplification, summarise our main argument as follows.


1. As competitors, we differ from each other, knowingly or tacitly, in the beliefs that drive our respective decisions and actions




2. Because we inhabit the same reality, most of these beliefs will be shared between us and our competitors



3. Only those beliefs that differentiate us and our competitors, including those beliefs that underpin the skills of implementation, can explain differences of performance between us



4. Winning strategies are based on belief systems that are closer to the truth than those of losing strategies



5. Beliefs that we and our competitors share, whether true or false, cannot be the cause of differential performance between us